

# **EXHIBIT A**

[Director\\_PTABDecision\\_Review@uspto.gov](mailto:Director_PTABDecision_Review@uspto.gov)

571.272.7822

Paper 36

Date: May 12, 2025

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE OFFICE OF THE UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE  
FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND DIRECTOR OF THE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

VIZIO, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

POLARIS POWERLED TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2024-00073  
Patent 7,843,148 B2

---

Before COKE MORGAN STEWART, *Acting Under Secretary of  
Commerce for Intellectual Property and Acting Director of the United States  
Patent and Trademark Office.*

ORDER

IPR2024-00073  
Patent 7,843,148 B2

The Office has received a request for Director Review of the Final Written Decision and an authorized response in the above-captioned case.<sup>1</sup> See Papers 33, 35.

I have considered the request and response and determined that the Decision warrants review by a Delegated Rehearing Panel (“DRP”). Accordingly, I delegate Director Review of the Decision to a DRP to review the Decision and determine whether the panel misapprehended or overlooked any issue, including whether the panel: (1) should have explicitly construed the claim term “current set circuit,” *see* Paper 18, 8 (“The intrinsic and extrinsic evidence confirm that simple passive resistors . . . cannot satisfy the claimed ‘current set circuits.’”); Paper 20, 15 (“‘current set circuits’ refers to the portions of that LED driver that ‘control[s] a peak current through one or more LEDs connected in each parallel path’”); and (2) erred in determining that Petitioner had shown certain dependent claims unpatentable while simultaneously finding that Petitioner had not met its burden in showing the independent claims from which they depend to be unpatentable.

Absent good cause, the DRP shall issue a decision within 30 days of this Order.

Accordingly, it is:

ORDERED that the request for Director Review is delegated to a DRP.

---

<sup>1</sup> Petitioner sought to file additional evidence in support of its request, which was denied. *See* Ex. 3101.

IPR2024-00073  
Patent 7,843,148 B2

For PETITIONER:

Sheila Swaroop  
Marko Zoretic  
Daniel Kiang  
KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON, & BEAR, LLP  
[2sns@knobbe.com](mailto:2sns@knobbe.com)  
[2mrz@knobbe.com](mailto:2mrz@knobbe.com)  
[2dck@knobbe.com](mailto:2dck@knobbe.com)

For PATENT OWNER:

David Alberti  
Russell Tonkovich  
KRAMER ALBERTI LIM & TONKOVICH LLP  
[dalberti@krameralberti.com](mailto:dalberti@krameralberti.com)  
[rtonkovich@krameralberti.com](mailto:rtonkovich@krameralberti.com)

Jason Fitzsimmons  
Richard Bember  
Jennifer Chagnon  
Michael Specht  
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC  
[jfitzsimmons-ptab@sternekessler.com](mailto:jfitzsimmons-ptab@sternekessler.com)  
[rbember-ptab@sternekessler.com](mailto:rbember-ptab@sternekessler.com)  
[jchagnon-ptab@sternekessler.com](mailto:jchagnon-ptab@sternekessler.com)  
[mspecht-ptab@sternekessler.com](mailto:mspecht-ptab@sternekessler.com)